

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/557,609	11/22/2005	Jong-Ho Lee	1338-0208PUS1	6204	
, 2292 DID CH STEW	7590 10/24/2007	1	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			MCPARTLIN, SARAH BURNHAM		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3636	·	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/24/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/557,609	LEE, JONG-HO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Sarah B. McPartlin	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	s		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the state of the sta	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the mer	rits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 November 2005</u> is/a	ire: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊑	objected to by the Examiner.	,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-19	52.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		•			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National Stag	je		
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
•		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	•		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/29/07 & 11/22/05</u> .	6) Other:	·			

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on application numbers 10-2003-0032799 and 10-2004-0033481 filed in Korea on May 23, 2003 and May 12, 2004 respectively.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information referred to in the information disclosure statements filed on November 11, 2005 and May 29, 2007 have been considered as to the merits.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Claim 1, lines 1-3: It appears as if the phrase "In a chair having a seat, a backrest and support legs, a chair having an automatically adjustable backrest for thereby preventing various backbone-related diseases, comprising:" should be replaced with the phrase - In a chair having a seat, a backrest and support legs, the backrest being automatically adjustable for thereby preventing various backbone-related diseases, comprising: - for the sake of clarity.
 - Claim 1, line 13: It is not clear whether the phrase "the cylinder" is referring to the hydraulic pressure-applying cylinder or the reciprocation operation cylinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,609

Art Unit: 3636

• Claim 1, line 18: It appears as if the phrase "a reciprocation operation cylinder" should be replaced with the phrase - - the reciprocation operation cylinder - - since this element was already recited in claim 1, line 10.

Page 3

- Claim 1, lines 19-21: It appears as if the phrase "the piston rod is appeared as the hydraulic pressure in inputted when the hydraulic pressure is discharged from the hydraulic pressure-applying cylinder" should be replaced with the phrase - a piston rod extends as hydraulic pressure is inputted into the reciprocation operation cylinder and discharged from the hydraulic pressure-applying cylinder - for the sake of clarity.
- Claim 1, lines 21-23: It appears as if the phrase "when the hydraulic pressure-applying cylinder collects the hydraulic pressure, the hydraulic pressure is discharged for thereby disappearing the piston rod" should be replaced with the phrase - when the hydraulic pressure-applying cylinder collects hydraulic pressure discharged from the reciprocation operation cylinder, the piston rod retracts - for the sake of clarity.
- Claim 1, line 24: It appears as if the word "that" should be deleted.
- Claim 1, line 30: It appears as if the word "that" should be deleted.
- Claim 1, line 33: It appears as if the word "that" should be deleted.
- Claim 3, line 2: Applicant states that a shaft support member is "fixed to both sides" in line 2. Both sides of what? Both sides of the guide box?
 Clarification is required.
- The following words/phrases lack sufficient antecedent basis:

Application/Control Number: 10/557,609

Art Unit: 3636

- the weight (claim 1, line 9)
- o the forward and backward directions (claim 1, lines 15-16)
- o the interior (claim 1, line 18; claim 3, line 7)
- o the piston rod (claim 1, line 19)
- the hydraulic pressure (claim 1, line 19)
- the hydraulic pressure line (claim 2, line 5)
- o the flow (claim 2, line 6)
- the pressure adjusting valve (claim 2, line 7)
- o the discharge amount (claim 2, line 7)
- o the forward side (claim 4, line 5)
- the backward side (claim 4, line 6)
- o the upper and lower surface (claim 5, lines 3-4)
- the front side (claim 8, line 5)
- o the rear end (claim 8, line 6)

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon an objected base claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 4, 6 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Claim 4, line 1: It appears as if the phrase "claim 1 or" should be deleted. If claim 4 were dependent from claim 1, "said stopper" and "the operation shaft," recited in claim 4, line 2, would lack antecedent basis.
 - Claims 6 and 7, lines 1-2: The phrase "installed at a portion higher than the seat as a lower side of the backrest frame" is not clear. Clarification is required.
 - Claims 6 and 7, lines 3-9: This language is not clear and does not conform to idiomatic norms. Clarification is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections and rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Bowen (1,685,770); Suominen (5,344,216) Bauer et al. (5,421,640); Condos (5,516,197) and Hong (5,902,013).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah B. McPartlin whose telephone number is 571-272-6854. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sarah B. McPartlin/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636

SBM October 18, 2007.